arXiv:1604.07157v1 [cs.IT] 25 Apr 2016

Closed-form Approximations for Coverage and Rate in a Multi-tier Heterogeneous Network in Nakagami-m Fading

G. V. S. S. Praneeth Varma, G. V. V. Sharma, *Member, IEEE,* and A. Kumar, *Member, IEEE*

***Abstract*—In this paper, we consider the downlink in a K-tier heterogeneous network in the presence of Nakagami-m fading and noise. For such a system, we derive closed-form approximations of coverage probability and average rate achievable. A piece-wise linear approximation is employed in obtaining the simplified expressions. The proposed results are verified numerically through simulations. A comparison with existing work shows that the proposed work is a good approximation.**

***Index Terms*—Average rate achievable, coverage probability, Nakagami fading, path-loss, piece-wise linear approximation.**

I. Introduction

The demand for higher data rates in cellular networks has lead to the deployment of small cells along with the macro base stations (BS) resulting in multi-tier heterogeneous networks (HetNets). The irregular BS deployment in such HetNets can be suitably modeled as a Poisson point process (PPP) as shown in [1]. In presence of Rayleigh fading, a stochastic geometry based approach has been used to determine coverage probability and average rate achievable for multi-tier HetNets in [1]. In the absence of simple analytical expressions of coverage and rate, ordering results for various transmission techniques in multi-antenna HetNets with Rayleigh fading for an interference limited scenario have been presented in [2]. In [3], average rate for generalized fading channels were derived using an MGF approach. An expression for the coverage probability was derived in semi-closed form for the dual branch in multi-antenna single tier network in [4]. Coverage and rate were derived using the gil-peleaz inversion formula in [5]. Analytical results in the above literature were expressed in either single or two fold integrals. A closed form expression for outage in the presence of rayleigh fading for a single tier network in terms of a toeplitz matrix was obtained in [6]. This problem was extended and solved for MIMO in [7], where the decision variable involved Gamma random variables. However, both the above papers focused on interference limited systems.

Thus, simplified analytical expressions for coverage and rate in the presence of Nakagami-m fading for both noise and interference limited scenarios are required. This is the motivation of this work. The coverage expressions obtained in our work are approximate, but the approach is extremely simple and the results quite accurate. The system model considered in this paper is presented next.

II. System model

We consider a K-tier HetNet such that each tier i's BSs are distributed according to a PPP Φ_i of density λ_i. The BSs in a tier i have same transmit power P_i and signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) threshold β_i. The path loss from a location x_i to the origin is defined as $L(x_i) = \|x_i\|^{-\alpha}$. The SINR for a typical user equipment (UE) at the origin from a BS located at x_i, in the tier i, is

$$SINR(x_i) = \frac{P_i h_{x_i} \|x_i\|^{-\alpha}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{x \in \Phi_j \setminus x_i} P_j h_x \|x\|^{-\alpha} + \sigma^2}, \quad (1)$$

where, h_{x_i} is the fading power between the UE and the BS at location x_i, and σ^2 is the noise power. The fading power from all the BSs is assumed to be independently distributed such that $h_{x_i} \sim \Gamma(M_i, 1)$ has a Gamma distribution, i.e., Nakagami fading. Further, for every tier i, h_{x_i}'s are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d). A coverage event for the typical user $\mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\})$ is defined for the set $\{\beta_i\}$ as

$$\mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\}) \triangleq \cup_{i \in K, x_i \in \Phi_i} (SINR(x_i) > \beta_i). \quad (2)$$

Then, from [1], the coverage probability P_c for Nakagami-m fading is expressed as

$$P_c = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\})) = \mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in K, x_i \in \Phi_i} (SINR(x_i) > \beta_i)). \quad (3)$$

Under the assumption $\beta_i > 1$, i.e., at most one BS in the entire network can provide SINR greater than the required threshold and using (1), (3) simplifies to [1]

$$P_c = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i \int_{R^2} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{P_i h_{x_i} L(x_i)}{I_{x_i} + \sigma^2} > \beta_i\right) dx_i. \quad (4)$$

Given that the user is in coverage, the average rate achievable for Nakagami-m fading is expressed in [1] as

$$R = \mathbb{E}\left[log\left(1 + \max_{x \in \cup \Phi_i} (SINR(x)) \middle| \mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\})\right)\right],$$

which simplifies to

$$R = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathbb{P}(X > y | \mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\}))}{1 + y} dy, \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > y | \mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\})) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{C}(\{max(y, \beta_i)\}))}{\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\}))}. \quad (6)$$

Next, we present the main results of this paper.

$$\mathcal{I}_i = \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} \frac{(\sigma^2)^{k-l}(-1)^l}{A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{l} (-1)^r B_{l,r}(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{l-r+1}) \Bigg[c \left\{ \gamma\left(r + \frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+1, \frac{A}{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}} x_2\right) - \gamma\left(r + \frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+1, \frac{A}{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) \right\}$$
$$+ \gamma\left(r + \frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+1, \frac{A}{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) + \frac{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}}{A} m \left\{ \gamma\left(r + \frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+2, \frac{A}{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}} x_2\right) - \gamma\left(r + \frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)+2, \frac{A}{(\sigma^2)^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) \right\} \Bigg] \quad (9)$$

III. Coverage Probability

A commonly encountered integral in the coverage analysis using stochastic geometry based approach, as in [1] [2], is

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-Vt - Ut^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} t^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dt\,. \quad (7)$$

For approximating (7), we present the following theorem.

Theorem III.1. *For $\alpha > 2$, $U > 0$, and $V > 0$,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-Vt - Ut^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} t^{\frac{n}{2}}\, dt \approx \frac{1}{V^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \Bigg[\gamma\left(n/2+1, \frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) + c\left\{ \gamma\left(n/2+1, \frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_2\right) - \gamma\left(n/2+1, \frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) \right\} + \frac{U^{2/\alpha}}{V} m \left\{ \gamma\left(n/2+2, \frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_2\right) - \gamma\left(n/2+2, \frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1\right) \right\} \Bigg], \quad (8)$$

where, $m = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-\left(1-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}$, $c = \frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-(1-\frac{2}{\alpha})}$, $x_1 = \frac{1-c}{m}$, $x_2 = \frac{-c}{m}$, and $\gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the lower incomplete gamma function [8, 8.350].

Proof: See Appendix A. ∎

Next, we present our main result for the coverage probability in a K-tier HetNet.

Theorem III.2. *The coverage probability of K-tier HetNet in Nakagami fading, when $\beta_i > 1$, is*

$$P_c = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha} \mathcal{I}_i\,, \quad (10)$$

where, $\mathcal{I}_i$ is as expressed in (9) with the variables $D_t = \prod_{q=0}^{t-1}\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} - q\right)$, $\binom{k}{l} = \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!}$, $A = \sum_{m=1}^{K} \lambda_m (P_m)^{2/\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^{M_m} \binom{M_m}{p} \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(M_m - p + 2/\alpha, p - 2/\alpha)$, where, $\mathcal{B}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Beta function [8, 8.380] and the Bell polynomial is defined as

$$B_{l,r}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{l-r+1}) = \sum \frac{l!}{j_1! j_2! \ldots j_{l-r+1}!} \prod_{t=1}^{l-r+1} \left(\frac{x_t}{t!}\right)^{j_t} \quad (11)$$

summation is over all j's such that $j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_{l-r+1} = r$ and $j_1 + 2j_2 + \cdots + (l-r+1) j_{l-r+1} = l$.

Proof: See Appendix B. ∎

Corollary III.3. *In the presence of Rayleigh fading, i.e., $M_i = 1$, the coverage probability of a typical UE is*

$$P_c = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{\pi \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha}}{V} \Bigg[\left(1 - e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1}\right) + c\left(e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1} - e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_2}\right) + m \left\{ e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_1}\left(x_1 + \frac{U^{2/\alpha}}{V}\right) - e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} x_2}\left(x_2 + \frac{U^{2/\alpha}}{V}\right) \right\} \Bigg], \quad (12)$$

where, $V = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\Gamma(2/\alpha)\Gamma(1-2/\alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{K} \lambda_m P_m^{2/\alpha}$ and $U = \sigma^2$.

Proof: Substituting $M_i = 1$ in (10) and using $\gamma(1+z, x) = z!\left[1 - e^{-x}\sum_{k=0}^{z} \frac{x^k}{k!}\right], \forall\, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ results in (12). ∎

Note that the result of Corollary III.3 is the closed form for the integral in [1, (2)]. Next, we present results for the average rate achievable of a typical UE.

IV. Average Rate

Theorem IV.1. *The average rate achievable of a typical UE in coverage of a K-tier HetNet in Nakagami fading, when $\beta_i > 1$, is*

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{I}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha} \mathcal{I}_i}\,, \quad (13)$$

where, $\mathcal{I}_i$ is as in (9),

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \ln(1+\beta_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\, {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{2}{\alpha}, 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}; -\frac{1}{\beta_i}\right), \quad (14)$$

and ${}_2F_1(.)$ is the Gauss Hypergeometric function as in [9].

Proof: See Appendix C. ∎

Corollary IV.2. *In the presence of Rayleigh fading, i.e., $M_i = 1$, the average rate achievable by a typical UE in coverage is*

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha} \mathcal{A}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha} \beta_i^{-2/\alpha}}\,. \quad (15)$$

Proof: Substituting $M_i = 1$ in (9) results in I_i being a constant with respect to (w.r.t.) i which together with (13) results in (15). ∎

V. Numerical Results

We consider the simulation setup as in [1], a two-tier HetNet consisting of macro BSs and small cells. We performed Monte Carlo simulations in MATLAB to obtain the simulation results which are averaged over 10^4 location realizations each with 10^3 channel realizations. We also numerically computed the integrals presented in [1] and the proposed approximate expressions in MATLAB. In Fig. 1, we present the variation of P_c w.r.t. β_1.

The curve generated using (10) matches closely with the existing result in [1, (2)] and the simulation results for various values of the Nakagami parameters, M_1 and M_2. The variation of P_c w.r.t. σ^2 in Rayleigh fading is presented in Fig. 2 . A good match is observed even for high values of σ^2, i.e., the noise limited regime.



Fig. 1: Variation of P_c w.r.t. β_1 in a 2-tier HetNet ($K = 2, \alpha = 3, P_1 = 25P_2, \lambda_2 = 5\lambda_1, \beta_2 = 1dB$)



Fig. 3: Variation of R w.r.t. β_1 when UE is in coverage ($K = 2, \alpha = 3, P_1 = 25P_2, \lambda_2 = 5\lambda_1, \beta_2 = 1dB$)



Fig. 2: Variation of P_c w.r.t. σ^2 in a 2-tier HetNet ($K = 2, \alpha = 3, P_1 = 25P_2, \lambda_2 = 5\lambda_1, \beta_1 = 1dB, \beta_2 = 1dB$)

In Fig. 3, the variation of R w.r.t. β_1 is presented. The curve generated using (13) matches closely with the integral in [1, (7)] and the simulation results as observed in Fig. 3. *Through extensive numerical computation it was verified that the percentage loss in approximation of P_c expressed in (10), when using (8), is within* 0.5% *for various values of α, M_i, and SINR.*

VI. Conclusion

We have proposed closed-form approximations for coverage probability and average rate achievable in a K-tier HetNet in the presence of noise and Nakagami fading. Further, through simulation results we have shown that the proposed simplified expressions match closely with existing results.

References

[1] H. Dhillon, R. Ganti, F. Baccelli, and J. G. Andrews, "Modeling and analysis of k-tier downlink heterogeneous cellular networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 550–560, April 2012.

[2] H. S. Dhillon, M. Kountouris, and J. G. Andrews, "Downlink mimo hetnets: Modeling,ordering results and performance analysis," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 5208–5222, October 2013.

[3] M. D. Renzo, A. Guidotti, and G. Corazza, "Average rate of downlink heterogeneous cellular networks over generalized fading channels: A stochastic geometry approach," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 3050–3071, July 2013.

[4] R. Tanbourgi, H. S. Dhillon, J. G. Andrews, and F. K. Jondral, "Dual-branch mrc receivers under spatial interference correlation and nakagami fading," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 1830–1844, June 2014.

[5] M. D. Renzo and P. Guan, "Stochastic geometry modeling of coverage and rate of cellular networks using the gil-pelaez inversion theorem," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1575–1578, Sept 2014.

[6] C. Li, J. Zhang, and K. Letaief, "Throughput and energy efficiency analysis of small cell networks with multi-antenna base stations," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 2505 – 2517, May 2014.

[7] C. Li, J. Zhang, J. G. Andrews, and K. Letaief, "Success probability and area spectral efficiency in multiuser mimo hetnets," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1544 – 1556, April 2016.

[8] S. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th ed. Academic Press, 2007.

[9] M. E. Hazewinkel, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

Appendix A
Proof of Theorem III.1

The expression in (8) can be simplified to

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-Ut^{\alpha/2}} e^{-Vt} t^{\frac{n}{2}} dt = \frac{1}{U^{\frac{n+2}{\alpha}}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}} y} y^{n/2} dy\,. \quad (16)$$

It is difficult to obtain an exact closed form solution for (16) for arbitrary α. From Fig. 4, it can be seen that $f(x) = e^{-x^{\alpha/2}}$ is monotonically decreasing w.r.t. x, $f(x) \in (0, 1]\, \forall x \geq 0$, and has a single point of inflection. Hence, for $f(x) = e^{-x^{\alpha/2}}$ a piece-wise linear approximation (PLA) is given by

$$e^{-x^{\alpha/2}} \approx \begin{cases} 1 & x \leq x_1\,, \\ mx + c & x_1 < x < x_2\,, \\ 0 & x_2 \leq x\,, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$



Fig. 4: Piecewise linear approximation

where, m, x_1, x_2, and c are unknown constants. To obtain m, we calculate the point of inflection of $f(x)$ denoted by x_0 as follows,

$$\left.\frac{d^2(e^{-x^{\alpha/2}})}{dx^2}\right|_{x=x_0} = 0 \Rightarrow x_0 = \left(1-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}. \tag{18}$$

Using (18), the slope at x_0, i.e., m is given by

$$m = \left[\frac{d\left(e^{-x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)}{dx}\right]_{x=x_0} = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-\left(1-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}. \tag{19}$$

From (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= mx_1 + c, \\ 0 &= mx_2 + c, \\ e^{-x_0^{\alpha/2}} &= mx_0 + c, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for x equal to x_1, x_2, and x_0, respectively. The three linear equations in (20) can be jointly solved to obtain x_1, x_2, and c as given in (8).

Substituting the approximation (17) in (16), we get

$$\frac{1}{U^{\frac{n+2}{\alpha}}}\left[\int_0^{x_1} y^{n/2} e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}y} dy + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (my+c) y^{n/2} e^{-\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}y} dy\right],$$

which with transformation of variable results in

$$\frac{1}{V^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\left[\int_0^{\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}x_1} x^{n/2} e^{-x} dx + c\int_{\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}x_1}^{\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}x_2} x^{n/2} e^{-x} dx + \frac{U^{2/\alpha}}{V} m \int_{\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}x_1}^{\frac{V}{U^{2/\alpha}}x_2} x^{n/2+1} e^{-x} dx\right],$$

and using definition of lower incomplete gamma function [8, 8.350] results in (8). This completes the proof of Theorem III.1. ■

Appendix B
Proof of Theorem III.2

Given $h_{x_i} \sim \Gamma(M_i, 1)$, the conditional probability in (4) is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{P_i h_{x_i} L(x_i)}{I_{x_i}+\sigma^2} > \beta_i \middle| I_{x_i} = I\right) = \int_{\frac{\beta_i(I+\sigma^2)}{P_i L(x_i)}}^{\infty} \frac{y^{M_i-1}e^{-y}}{\Gamma(M_i)} dy, \tag{21}$$

which using [8, 2.321], simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(M_i)} \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1} \frac{(-1)^{M_i-1-k}(M_i-1)!}{k!(-1)^{M_i-1-k+1}} \left(\frac{\beta_i(I+\sigma^2)}{P_i L(x_i)}\right)^k e^{-\left(\frac{\beta_i(I+\sigma^2)}{P_i L(x_i)}\right)} \\ &\overset{(a)}{=} e^{-\frac{\beta_i\sigma^2}{P_i L(x_i)}} \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i L(x_i)}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} (\sigma^2)^{k-l} I^l e^{-\frac{\beta_i I}{P_i L(x_i)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where, (a) is obtained through the binomial expansion. Averaging (22) over interference results in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{P_i h_{x_i} L(x_i)}{I_{x_i}+\sigma^2} > \beta_i\right) &= e^{-\frac{\beta_i\sigma^2}{P_i L(x_i)}} \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1} \frac{(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i L(x_i)})^k}{k!} \\ &\times \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} (\sigma^2)^{k-l} \mathbb{E}_I\left[I^l e^{-\frac{\beta_i I}{P_i L(x_i)}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We simplify $\mathbb{E}_I\left[I^l e^{-\frac{\beta_i I}{P_i L(x_i)}}\right]$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_I\left[I^l e^{-sI}\right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^l e^{-sy} f_I(y) dy = \mathcal{L}\left\{y^l f_I(y)\right\}(s) \\ &= (-1)^l \frac{d^l \mathcal{L}\{f_I(y)\}(s)}{ds^l} = (-1)^l \frac{d^l}{ds^l}\left\{\mathbb{E}_I\left[e^{-sI}\right]\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{L}\{.\}$ is the Laplace transform. Substituting (24) in (23) and $s = \beta_i/(P_i L(x_i))$ results in

$$e^{-\frac{\beta_i\sigma^2}{P_i L(x_i)}} \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i L(x_i)}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} (\sigma^2)^{k-l} (-1)^l \frac{d^l}{ds^l}\left\{\mathbb{E}_I\left[e^{-sI}\right]\right\}. \tag{25}$$

An expression of $\mathbb{E}_I\left[e^{-sI}\right]$ has been expressed in [2] as follows

$$\exp\left(-(s)^{2/\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{K} \lambda_m (P_m)^{2/\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^{M_m} \binom{M_m}{p} \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(M_m - p + 2/\alpha, p - 2/\alpha)\right) \tag{26}$$

where, $\mathcal{B}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Beta function as given in (9) [8, 8.380].

The Faa Di Bruno formula [9] can be used to obtain,

$$\frac{d^l}{ds^l}\left\{\mathbb{E}_I\left[e^{-sI}\right]\right\} = \sum_{r=0}^{l} f^{(r)}(g) B_{l,r}(g', g'', \ldots, g^{l-r+1}) \tag{27}$$

where,

$$f = e^x,\ g = -As^{2/\alpha}, \tag{28}$$

such that their higher order derivatives are

$$f^{(r)}(g) = e^{-As^{2/\alpha}},\ g^{(t)} = -As^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-t} D_t \tag{29}$$

and A, D_t, $B_{l,r}\left(g', \ldots, g^{l-r+1}\right)$ are as expressed in (9). Bell

polynomial in (27) can be further simplified as,

$$B_{l,r}\left(g',\ldots,g^{l-r+1}\right) = \sum \frac{l!}{j_1!j_2!\ldots j_{l-r+1}!}\prod_{t=1}^{l-r+1}\left(\frac{-AD_t s^{\frac{2}{\alpha}-t}}{t!}\right)^{j_t}$$
$$= \sum s^{\frac{2}{\alpha}(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_{l-r+1})} s^{-(j_1+2j_2+\cdots+(l-r+1)j_{l-r+1})} \times \frac{(-A)^{(j_1+j_2+\cdots+j_{l-r+1})}l!}{j_1!j_2!\ldots j_{l-r+1}!}\prod_{t=1}^{l-r+1}\left(\frac{D_t}{t!}\right)^{j_t}$$
$$= (-A)^r s^{\frac{2r}{\alpha}-l} B_{l,r}(D_1,D_2,\ldots,D_{l-r+1}). \quad (30)$$

Substituting (27), (29), and (30) in (25) results in

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{P_i h_{x_i} L(x_i)}{I_{x_i}+\sigma^2} > \beta_i\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1}\frac{(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}(\sigma^2)^{k-l}(-1)^l \times \sum_{r=0}^{l}\left(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i}\right)^{\frac{2r}{\alpha}-l}(-A)^r B_{l,r}(D_1,D_2,\ldots,D_{l-r+1}) \times e^{-\frac{\beta_i\sigma^2\|x_i\|^\alpha}{P_i}-A(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i})^{2/\alpha}\|x_i\|^2}\|x_i\|^{\alpha k+2r-\alpha l}. \quad (31)$$

Using (31), the probability of coverage in (4) is expressed as

$$P_c = \sum_{i=1}^{K}\lambda_i\int_{R^2}\sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1}\frac{(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}(\sigma^2)^{k-l}(-1)^l\sum_{r=0}^{l}\left(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i}\right)^{\frac{2r}{\alpha}-l}(-A)^r \times B_{l,r}(D_1,D_2,\ldots,D_{l-r+1})e^{-\frac{\beta_i\sigma^2\|x_i\|^\alpha}{P_i}-A(\frac{\beta_i}{P_i})^{2/\alpha}\|x_i\|^2}\|x_i\|^{\alpha k+2r-\alpha l}dx_i \quad (32)$$

Converting (32) to polar form along with transformation of variable results in

$$P_c = \sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\sum_{k=0}^{M_i-1}\frac{1}{k!}\sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}(\sigma^2)^{k-l}(-1)^l\sum_{r=0}^{l}(-A)^r \times B_{l,r}(D_1,D_2,\ldots,D_{l-r+1})\int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma^2 t^{\alpha/2}-At}t^{r+\frac{\alpha}{2}(k-l)}dt. \quad (33)$$

Substituting the result obtained in (8) in (33) results in (10). This completes the proof of Theorem III.2. ■

Appendix C
Proof of Theorem IV.1

Substituting P_c from (10) in (6) we have,

$$\mathbb{P}(X > y|\mathbf{C}(\{\beta_i\})) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}max(y,\beta_i)^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i}. \quad (34)$$

Using (34) in (5) gives,

$$R = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+y)}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i\left(\beta_i^{2/\alpha}max(y,\beta_i)^{-2/\alpha}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i}dy \quad (35)$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i\mathcal{A}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{K}\pi\lambda_i P_i^{2/\alpha}\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}\mathcal{I}_i},$$

where,

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \int_0^\infty \frac{max(\beta_i,y)^{-2/\alpha}}{\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}(1+y)}dy$$
$$= \int_0^{\beta_i}\frac{1}{1+y}dy + \frac{1}{\beta_i^{-2/\alpha}}\int_{\beta_i}^\infty\frac{y^{-2/\alpha}}{1+y}dy$$
$$= \ln(1+\beta_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\,{}_2F_1\left(1,\frac{2}{\alpha},1+\frac{2}{\alpha};-\frac{1}{\beta_i}\right), \quad (36)$$

using the following in the second integral [8, 3.194]

$$\int_u^\infty\frac{y^{\mu-1}}{(1+\beta y)^\nu}dy = \frac{u^{\mu-\nu}}{\beta^\nu(\nu-\mu)}\,{}_2F_1\left(\nu,\nu-\mu,\nu-\mu+1;-\frac{1}{\beta u}\right) \quad Re\{\nu\} > Re\{u\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem IV.1. ■